1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BLAMOH T. TWEGBE, ET AL.,	No. 3:12-cv-05080-CRB
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT LEAVE TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS'
13	v.	LATE-FILED EVIDENCE
14	PHARMACA INTEGRATIVE PHARMACY, INC.,	
15		
16	Defendant.	
17	The Court is in receipt of Defendant Pharmaca Integrative Pharmacy, Inc.'s objection	
18	to late-filed evidence (dkt. 88). The Court GRANTS Defendant leave to respond by March	
10	to face fried evidence (akt. 60). The court GRAIVIB Defendant leave to respond by Water	

to late-filed evidence (dkt. 88). The Court GRANTS Defendant leave to respond by March 11, 2015, to the evidence Plaintiffs filed for the first time in their reply in support of class certification. See Provenz v. Miller, 102 F.3d 1478, 1483 (9th Cir. 1996). Plaintiffs may not file a subsequent sur-reply. The Court takes note of Plaintiffs' repeated failure to comply with deadlines and procedural rules and expects full compliance in the future.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 4, 2015

CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE